

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

such a proceeding is not fit for a position on the judicial bench." Decisions which uphold such preferences when "fair and reasonable" the author characterizes as "weak straddles." 7 Thompson on Corp. 8496.

BILLS OF LADING—LIABILITY OF ASSIGNEE FOR BREACH OF ORIGINAL CONTRACT OF SALE.—An assignee of a bill of lading with draft attached, is held in *Finch* v. *Greyg* (N. C.), 49 L. R. A. 679, to be liable if he receives payment of the draft, to an action for a return of the money, in case the property covered by the bill does not comply with the contract.

This decision is supported by that of Landa v. Lattin Bros., 19 Tex. Civ. App. 246, 46 S. W. 48, noticed in 4 Va. Law Reg. 391, but the annotation to the former case shows that the authorities generally are to the effect that, if such a draft is accepted, the rights and liabilities of the parties are thereafter governed by the law of negotiable paper, unaffected by any of the equities that may exist between the original parties to the contract.

In a recent case at nisi prius, Judge Martin, of Norfolk, Va., declined to follow the two cases cited, and held in accordance with the doctrine last stated.

If there had been no bill of lading in the case, on the most elementry principles of the law of negotiable paper, the acceptor (buyer) would have no cause of action against the holder to whom he has made payment, by reason of equities between him and the drawer (original seller).

On the other hand, if there had been no draft, but a mere sale of the goods by assignment of the bill of lading to the intermediate assignee, and an assignment by him to the plaintiff, it is probable that the intermediate assignee would be held to have assumed all the responsibilities of a seller, including liability for breach of warranty or condition.

But where the two are combined—the draft and the bill of lading—the purpose in assigning the bill of lading is merely to give security to the draft; the transaction being tantamount to a pledge of the goods as collateral security. When, therefore, the drawee (and buyer) pays the draft to the holder, an assignment of the bill of lading to the drawee by the holder, is rather in the nature of a release of the holder's lien on the goods—or, if it be regarded as a sale, it is a sale by a pledgee, who, in the absence of special contract, should not be held to warrant either title or quality.

The view that the holder of the draft and assignee of the bill of lading, is not responsible for breach of warranty or of condition as to the quality of the goods, is strongly presented in 7 Case and Comment, 63.